



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/752,876	12/28/2000	Malcolm M. Smith	062891.0423	9414

5073 7590 11/16/2007  
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.  
2001 ROSS AVENUE  
SUITE 600  
DALLAS, TX 75201-2980

EXAMINER
----------

HAN, CLEMENCE S

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2616

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

11/16/2007

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ptomail1@bakerbotts.com  
glenda.orrantia@bakerbotts.com

54

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/752,876	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SMITH, MALCOLM M.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Clemence Han	<b>Art Unit</b> 2616	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 August 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 5-12, 14-20, 22, 23, 25-31 and 33-37 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 5-12, 14-20, 22, 23, 25-31 and 33-37 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                       | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
2. Claim 1-3, 5-12, 14-20, 22, 23 and 25-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Magret et al. (US 6,804,221).

Regarding to claim 1, Magret teaches a system for distributing packets for communication to a mobile unit comprising: a mobile unit 200 having a device identifier (Column 11 Line 38-39) and an internet protocol (IP) address comprising a first subnet identifier, the mobile unit roaming in a foreign network having a second subnet identifier (Column 11 Line 22-23); a mobility manager 230 operable to determine a multicast address for the mobile unit based on the device identifier (Column 12 Line 31-32), to receive multicast address requests 112 that include the device identifier, and to communicate the multicast address responsive to the multicast address requests 127; a foreign agent 220 in the foreign network, the foreign agent operable to detect the mobile unit (Column 11 Line 25-32), to determine the device identifier for the mobile unit (Column 11 Line 38-39), to communicate 112 a request including the device identifier to the mobility manager 230, to receive 127 the multicast address from the mobility manager 230, and to register for a multicast group identified by the multicast address (Column 12 Line 36-37); and a home agent 240 operable to receive IP packets addressed to the mobile unit (Column 13 Line 50-53), to determine the multicast address associated

with the mobile unit (Column 13 Line 50-53), to encapsulate the IP packets as payloads for multicast packets addressed to the multicast address (Column 13 Line 58-60), and to communicate the multicast packets for receipt by devices registered for the multicast group using a packet network (Column 13 Line 63-64).

Regarding to claim 2, Magret teaches the device identifier as at least one of a mobile identification number (MIN) for the mobile unit and an equipment serial number (ESN) for the mobile unit (Column 2 Line 55-57).

Regarding to claim 3, Magret teaches the foreign agent is further operable to receive the multicast packets from the packet network, to extract the IP packets from the multicast packets, and to communicate the IP packets to the mobile unit (Column 13 Line 61-64).

Regarding to claim 5, Magret teaches the home agent 240 determines the multicast address by communicating a request including the IP address of the mobile unit to the mobility manager and receiving the multicast address from the mobility manager responsive to the request (Column 18 Line 58-65).

Regarding to claim 6, 14, 22 and 25, Magret teaches a method for registering to receive packets comprising: determining a device identifier for a mobile unit 200 (Column 11 Line 38-39), the mobile unit having an internet protocol (IP) address comprising a subnet identifier for a remote network (Column 11 Line 22-23); communicating a request 112 for a multicast address associated with the mobile unit, the request including the device identifier; receiving the multicast address 127; and

Art Unit: 2616

registering for a multicast group identified by the multicast address (Column 12 Line 36-37).

Regarding to claim 7, 15, 23 and 26, Magret teaches receiving multicast packets addressed to the multicast address, wherein the multicast packets contain information for communication to the mobile unit (Column 13 Line 50-53).

Regarding to claim 8, 16 and 27, Magret teaches the information in the multicast packets comprising IP packets addressed to the IP address for the mobile unit (Column 13 Line 58-60).

Regarding to claim 9, 17 and 28, Magret teaches the information in the multicast packets comprises voice information (Column 9 Line 3-4).

Regarding to claim 10, 18 and 29, Magret teaches the multicast group comprises a plurality of foreign agents 220 each receiving multicast packets containing information for communication to the mobile unit (Column 13 Line 61-64).

Regarding to claim 11, 19 and 30, Magret teaches each of the foreign agents receiving the multicast packets communicates the information from the multicast packets to facilitate handoff of the mobile unit 115.

Regarding to claim 12, 20 and 31, Magret teaches the device identifier as at least one of a mobile identification number (MIN) for the mobile unit and an equipment serial number (ESN) for the mobile unit (Column 2 Line 55-57).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

4. Claim 33-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Magret et al. (US 6,804,221) in view of Kim (US Patent 6,070,075).

Regarding to claim 33, Magret teaches a system for distributing packets for communication to a mobile unit comprising: a mobile unit 200 having a device identifier (Column 11 Line 38-39) and an internet protocol (IP) address comprising a first subnet identifier, the mobile unit roaming in a foreign network having a second subnet identifier (Column 11 Line 22-23); a mobility manager 230 operable to determine a multicast address for the mobile unit based on the device identifier (Column 12 Line 31-32), to receive multicast address requests 112 that include the device identifier, and to communicate the multicast address responsive to the multicast address requests 127; a foreign agent 220 in the foreign network, the foreign agent operable to detect the mobile unit (Column 11 Line 25-32), to determine the device identifier for the mobile unit (Column 11 Line 38-39), to communicate 112 a request including the device identifier to the mobility manager 230, to receive 127 the multicast address from the mobility manager 230, and to register for a multicast group identified by the multicast address (Column 12 Line 36-37); and a home agent 240 operable to receive IP packets addressed to the mobile unit (Column 13 Line 50-53), to determine the multicast address associated with the mobile unit (Column 13 Line 50-53), to encapsulate the IP packets as payloads

Art Unit: 2616

for multicast packets addressed to the multicast address (Column 13 Line 58-60), and to communicate the multicast packets for receipt by devices registered for the multicast group using a packet network (Column 13 Line 63-64). Magret, however, does not teach the foreign agent operable to detect the mobile unit by determining that a signal strength received from the mobile unit have exceed a threshold. Kim teaches the foreign agent operable to detect the mobile unit by determining that a signal strength received from the mobile unit have exceed a threshold (Column 3 Line 1-5). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Magret to have the foreign agent detect the mobile unit by determining the signal strength as taught by Kim in order to carry out hard handoff (Column 3 Line 1).

Regarding to claim 34-37, Magret teaches a method for registering to receive packets comprising: determining a device identifier for a mobile unit 200 (Column 11 Line 38-39), the mobile unit having an internet protocol (IP) address comprising a subnet identifier for a remote network (Column 11 Line 22-23); communicating a request 112 for a multicast address associated with the mobile unit, the request including the device identifier; receiving the multicast address 127; and registering for a multicast group identified by the multicast address (Column 12 Line 36-37). Magret, however, does not teach the foreign agent operable to detect the mobile unit by determining that a signal strength received from the mobile unit have exceed a threshold. Kim teaches the foreign agent operable to detect the mobile unit by determining that a signal strength received from the mobile unit have exceed a threshold (Column 3 Line 1-5). It would have been

Art Unit: 2616

obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Magret to have the foreign agent detect the mobile unit by determining the signal strength as taught by Kim in order to carry out hard handoff (Column 3 Line 1).

### ***Response to Arguments***

5. Applicant's arguments filed 08/31/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to page 8-10, the applicant argues that even if Magret's MAR 230 may send packets to a multicast group, it is not the home agent because it is in a foreign domain. Magret teaches MAR 230 can act as a home agent (see Figure 9 and Column 15 Line 11). Therefore, examiner contends that Magret teaches all the limitation in the independent claims.

### ***Conclusion***

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.




Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clemence Han whose telephone number is (571) 272-3158. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

C. H.  
Clemence Han  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2616

  
HUY D. VU  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600